Chang, Lisa

Subject: Meet to discuss position on NWIFC subaward to Swinomish

Location: R10Sea-Room-19Orca/R10-Rooms-Service-Center

Start: Mon 6/22/2015 12:15 PM **End:** Mon 6/22/2015 1:00 PM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Meeting organizer

Organizer: Chang, Lisa

Required Attendees: Bonifaci, Angela; Fournier, Tony

Resources: R10Sea-Room-19Orca/R10-Rooms-Service-Center

Importance: High

Angela and Tony,

Let's meet on Monday to lay out where we are in terms of our ability to intervene on NWIFC's decision to fund or not fund the proposed Swinomish "public education and outreach" work.

Our prior internal exchange on this question is attached; however, I believe that a key "hook" may be in the "Substantial Involvement" T&C, particularly our ability to "review and approve technical deliverables":

5. EPA's Substantial Involvement

EPA will be substantially involved in this project by participating in the following activities: (1) Within the first nine months of the project, EPA reserves the right to negotiate work plan and budget; (2) monitor the project management and execution throughout the assistance agreement's project and budget period; (3) provide technical assistance and coordination as requested or needed by the recipient; and (4) review and approve technical deliverables.

In our view, the deliverables being produced under the subaward have become inconsistent with the eligible activities in the NWIFC RFP that was used to solicit proposals, and inconsistent with the workplan submitted by the subawardee. If our "substantial involvement" includes being able to "review and approve technical deliverables," it would seem that if a technical deliverable had become truly unacceptable for clear reasons that we can articulate, then we could request (require?) that the problems be corrected, or that work stop.

I hope we can meet to discuss this position and then quickly meet with the grantee. In the meantime, if you have any thoughts on this argument, please share.

Lisa

